

637 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6982-2001(O&M)
Date of decision : 30.01.2015

Bharat Bhushan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam, Panchkula and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.M.K.Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.S.Virk, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J (ORAL)

In view of the nature of controversy involved and by afflux of time, no cause of action would be surviving any further. It seems to be the reason that nobody has come present on behalf of the petitioner to press the present writ petition.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is ordered to be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, since nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner, liberty is granted to him to get the present writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
Judge**

January 30, 2015
anju