

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20558 of 2015.
Decided on:-19.8.2015.

Brij Mohan Aggarwal.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vineet Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to order dated 26.6.2015 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Naresh Kumar, does not dispute this fact but states that the petitioner is not cooperating in the investigation as he has not disclosed the name of the person from whom he has taken the stolen property.

The issue as to who had stolen the property is a matter to be decided during the trial.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court to the petitioner vide order dated 26.6.2015 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

August 19, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE