

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20552-2015 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: August 17, 2015**

Gurpreet alias Rimpay and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI**

Present: Mr.P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)**

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the fact that as per prosecution version, the injury attracting the mischief of Section 326, IPC, has been attributed to petitioner No.2-Sonu, therefore, he would not press the prayer for anticipatory bail on his behalf (petitioner No.2-Sonu).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.

Dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.2-Sonu son of Dharam Pal.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mewa Singh of Police Station, City, Samana, District Patiala, very fairly concedes that in compliance of the interim directions, dated 07.07.2015, petitioner No.1-Gurpreet alias Rimpny, who has been attributed simple injury by means of a *datar*, has joined the investigation and no more required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, interim directions issued vide order dated 07.07.2015 qua petitioner No.1-Gurpreet alias Rimpny are made absolute. Petitioner No.1-Gurpreet alias Rimpny shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

**August 17, 2015**  
meenu

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)**  
**JUDGE**