

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 1425 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.10.2015

Smt. Ompati and others

..... Appellants

Versus

Surender and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for the appellants.

None for the respondent.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that despite his best possible efforts, he could not trace the complete particulars of the legal heirs of respondent No. 1-Surender who is stated to have expired and for that reason he could not file the application for impleading them as respondents. He has prayed for dismissal of this appeal for want of prosecution and for non-compliance of order dated 21.02.2012, with liberty to get revive the same as and when he would locate the complete particulars of legal heirs of respondent No. 1-Surender.

In view of the above request of learned counsel for the appellants, this appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution, with the aforesaid liberty.

October 08, 2015

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**