

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-20465 of 2015
Date of decision : 02.07.2015**

Sonu Sehgal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.K. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.255 dated 26.05.2015, registered under Section 18 and 20 of NDPS Act, Police Station Civil Lines Rohtak.

The police received secret information that Ankur son of Ravinder Kumar had parked his scooter in the street near his house and had kept Narcotic substance in the vehicle and if a raid is effected he can be arrested. On receipt of this information, the police party headed towards his house and found the scooter parked. The vehicle was not carrying any registration number. On interrogation, it was revealed that Ankur owned the vehicle. The vehicle was searched and it was found to contain opium and charas in pouches.

During investigation, the police found that there was a dispute going on between Ankur and Sonu Sehgal with respect to the shop and the opium and the charas had been kept by Sonu and his two companions.

The petitioner approached the Court for anticipatory bail which

was dismissed on 06.06.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the police was siding with Ankur and had let him off and was trying to implicate the petitioner. It was urged that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner and he is not the owner of the vehicle and the question of planting any narcotic substance did not arise.

The State counsel submits that Sonu has a criminal background as he was earlier involved in another case registered in Delhi and there had been an altercation earlier regarding which an FIR was registered by Sonu and Ankur is not required in the case and it was the petitioner who was the master mind.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had been discharged by the Special Court at Delhi in that case and the order is available on record and there is no other case.

Secret information was provided to the police by the petitioner on the basis of which the police reached the spot and searched the scooty from which narcotic substance was recovered. The petitioner does not have good relations with Ankur, who is his tenant. Disputes are going on between them. Investigations have revealed that it was Sonu and his companions who had planted the drugs in the scooty parked in the street. Custodial interrogation is necessary. No case for anticipatory bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

02.07.2015

sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE