

**255 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-23257 of 2013

Date of Decision: February 05, 2015

Pardeep Singh alias Babbu

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing the FIR No.185, dated 04.08.2006, under Section 447 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar and also for quashing the order dated 25.05.2013 (Annexure P-5) and the order dated 27.05.2013 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, along with all the consequential proceedings.

A perusal of the file shows that earlier also, the petitioner has filed a similar petition No.11545 of 2007. The co-ordinate Bench

of this Court vide order dated July 06, 2010 disposed of the same with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the pleas taken up at the time of the framing of charges. If the trial court opts to frame the charges against the petitioner, a speaking order will be passed and trial will be concluded within a period of six months after the framing of charges.

Vide order dated 22.05.2013 (Annexure P-5), learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, dismissed the application filed by the petitioner for discharging him. Accordingly, the charges were framed vide order dated 27.05.2013 (Annexure P-6).

I am of the view that the present petition is misuse of the process of the court. The earlier petition was disposed of. Now the charges have been framed and if the petitioner is aggrieved, he can file the revision against the order of the framing of charges before the Sessions Court. The revision, if filed within thirty days, shall be disposed of on merits.

Hence, present petition is dismissed.

February 05, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE