

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-20352 of 2015
Date of Decision: June 24, 2015

Purshotam Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for directing official respondents to safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from respondent nos. 5 to 24.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already moved a representation dated 08.06.2015 (Annexure P/11) to respondent no.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, but no action has been taken against the culprits. Learned counsel further contends that direction may be issued to decide representation (Annexure P/11) within a stipulated period.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the

case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, to look into the grievance of the petitioner specifically made in representation dated 08.06.2015 (Annexure P/11) and take action in accordance with law. Needful shall be done within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

June 24, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge