

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.02.09 16:59
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-20404 of 2014

Date of Decision: 06.02.2015

Ram Karan and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners
Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State
Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

During course of hearing, it has transpired that all the prosecution witnesses except one official witness remains to be examined in the case and the case is fixed for 7.2.2015 for examination of the said witness.

Counsel for the State has ensured that the witness remains to be examined would be present before the trial court on the date fixed.

As the trial is almost at its fag end, I dispose of the petition without advertng to the merits of the allegations set up in the petition.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2015
PARAMJIT