

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CRM-M-20298 of 2015

Date of decision: 19.06.2015

Rajveer Kaur and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J.

1. Notice of motion. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, DAG, Punjab accepts notice for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioners shall supply a copy of complete paper book to the counsel appearing for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents Nos.4 to 9.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners who are aged about 20 ½ years and the girl of is 17 ½ years are in jocund company and know fully that they are not yet of marriageable age.

4. I am of the view that their parents might cause harm to the petitioners. The petitioners shall give a representation to respondent

No.2, Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, Punjab who will consider the same and afford to them such protection as the situation might warrant. I cannot legitimise their living together when they are not married and when one of them is a 'child' under POCSO act. The SSP, Sangrur will examine their representation and take appropriate legal action for protection or invoke any penal provision, if any cognizable offence is believed to have been committed.

5. The Crl. Misc. Petition is disposed of with the abode direction.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

June 19, 2015
sonia g.