

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-20216 of 2015

Date of decision : July 02, 2015

Sushma

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Surinder Gupta, J

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner, who is daughter-in-law of the complainant had stolen his ATM card and gave the same to one Krishan Kumar son of Kaptan Singh, who had withdrawn a sum of ₹1,10,000/- from the account of the complainant. He submits that due to strained relations with the petitioner, false allegations have been levelled against her. In fact the petitioner is living separately from the complainant and earlier the allegations were levelled against the complainant that he tried to outrage her modesty.

Learned State counsel submits that the police has arrested Krishan Kumar and recovered a sum of ₹70,000/- from him and also collected CCTV footage of Krishan Kumar withdrawing the money from the account of the complainant. In view of the above facts custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the police and join the investigation. In the event of her arrest being required, she be released on bail till presentation of the challan, on her furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, she shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) (i) to (iv) Cr.P.C. failing which she shall loose the benefit of interim bail allowed to her.

July 02, 2015
deepak

(Surinder Gupta)
Judge