

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-20191 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 14.09.2015

Rinki and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad K. Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that since petitioners No.3 and 4 were arrested, the present petition qua to them was dismissed as infructuous on 22.6.2015.

Learned counsel contends that in compliance of directions issued by this Court on 11.8.2015, petitioners No.1 and 2 have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Kesh Ram has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by petitioners No.1 and 2.

In view of the above, interim order dated 22.6.2015 is made absolute qua to petitioners No.1 and 2.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 14, 2015

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)