

201 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20185-2014 (O&M)
Manoj Kumar vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-22254 of 2014
Ajay Pal Singh vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-23142 of 2014
Mangal Singh vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-23805 of 2014
Ishwati and another vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-24560 of 2014
Ramesh Chand and another vs. State of Haryana

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate
 for the petitioner in CRM-20185-2014.

 Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
 for the petitioners in CRM-M-2254 of 2014,
 CRM-M-23142-2014, CRM-M-23805-2014, and
 CRM-M-24560-2014.

 Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
 for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgement should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

CRM-M-37976 of 2014

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed, as prayed for.

Documents taken on record.

Application CRM-M-37976 of 2014 stands disposed of.

CRM-M-20185-2014

This order of mine shall dispose of CRM-M-20185-2014 titled as Manoj Kumar vs. State of Haryana, CRM-M-22254 of 2014 titled as Ajay Pal Singh vs. State of Haryana, CRM-M-23142 of 2014 titled as Mangal Singh vs. State of Haryana, CRM-M-23805 of 2014 titled as Ishwati and another vs. State of Haryana and CRM-M-24560 of 2014 titled as Ramesh Chand and another vs. State of Haryana.

It is alleged that 16 persons were made to part with 40 lacs of rupees on the promise of allotment of plots. The plots were not allotted later on. It is stated that they were handed over certain certificates of RCS Family Finance. The claim of the complainants is that they have been duped.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that in this case, petitioners were also member of the said RCS Family Finance and they were also issued certificates. It is further argued that cheques of interest were also issued.

A perusal of FIR shows that there are serious allegations of cheating large number of applicants on the pretext of allotment of plots. The applicants do not appear to be highly educated. Therefore, the creation of other documents is also to be examined by the police during investigation. The facts and circumstances of the case are such that police need to be given a free hand to investigate the matter properly and find out the truth. It is not a case where extraordinary power under Section 438 Cr.P.C. should be exercised.

Accordingly, the present petitions under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail, stands dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

2.2.2015
Ishant