

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20124 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 2.7.2015**

Ankit Taneja

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. V. Ramswaroop, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks bail pending trial in FIR No. 57 dated 14.3.2015 under Sections 21/22-C/27-A/ of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station, Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is running a chemist shop and is having the requisite license. He further submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case by the local police. He concluded by submitting that the mandatory provisions of Section 50 of the NDPS Act have been glaringly violated in the present case which entitles the petitioner for the concession of bail pending trial. He prays for allowing the present petition.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that provisions of Section 50 of the NDPS Act were duly complied with. A heavy recovery was effected from the petitioner. He further

ANKIT KUMAR
2015.07.02 17:56
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

submits that after carrying out an effective investigation, report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented to the court of competent jurisdiction and the next date of hearing is 13.7.2015 for framing of charge. He prays for dismissal of the present petition.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not intend to press this petition and the same may be dismissed as not pressed.

Dismissed as not pressed, at this stage.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

2.7.2015
Ak Sharma