

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 20098 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.06.2015

Naziya Khan alias Naziya Bona

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from respondents No. 4 and 5.

The facts are that the petitioner was married to respondent No.4 in January, 2010 according to Muslim rites and ceremonies. After the marriage she came to know that respondent No.4 was facing criminal trial under Sections 363, 355 and 376 IPC in case bearing FIR No. 115 dated 20.07.2008, registered with Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot wherein he has now been convicted for a period of 10 years together with fine and is

behind the bars. The petitioner has further alleged that respondent NO.4

came home on furlough on two occasions and gave severe beatings to her on both the occasions and also demanded dowry. He is also in the habit of taking intoxicants. Her mother-in-law instigates him for doing all this. She also submitted a representation dated 09.06.2015 (Annexure P-1) before respondent No.2 for protection of her life and liberty but no action has been taken thereon as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide her representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the matter personally and take whatever action he deems necessary on the representation (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

June 18, 2015
sunita