

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Decided on: March 27, 2015

1. CRM No. M-2009 of 2015

Roshan Singh and others

...Petitioners....

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents....

2. CRM No. M-2406 of 2015

Sukhdev Singh @ Sukhi and another

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-2009 of 2015 and
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM No. M-2406 of 2015.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM No. M-2009 of 2015 and
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-2406 of 2015.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of two petitions i.e CRM No. M-2009 of 2015 filed by *Roshan Singh and others* and CRM No. M-2406 of 2015 filed by *Sukhdev Singh @ Sukhi and another*.

2. CRM No. M-2009 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.37, dated

30.07.2011, under Sections 324, 323 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.01.2015 (Annexure P-2)

3. CRM No. M-2406 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross-version case recorded as DDR No. 27, dated 30.07.2011, under Sections 324, 323 and 34 IPC in FIR No.37, dated 30.07.2011, registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.01.2015 (Annexure P-3).

4. Separate reports of Id. Judicial Magistrate have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that parties have arrived at a volunteer compromise. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

5. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in case reported as **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another; 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both the petitions are allowed, FIR No.37, dated 30.07.2011, under Sections 324, 323 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda and all other consequential

proceedings arising therefrom including cross-version case recorded as
DDR No. 27, dated 30.07.2011 are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

March 27, 2015
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE