

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19953 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: June 26, 2015

Amanjot Kaur and another	Versus	...Petitioners
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: - Both the petitioners in person with
Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate
for the complainant.
Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondents Nos. 2 and 4 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 9.

In the petition, it has been averred that both the petitioners are major. They have solemnized their marriage on 13.06.2015 at Gurudwara Guru Nanak Dev Ji, Phase VI, SAS Nagar Mohali. The certificate of the marriage and photographs of marriage ceremonies are attached as Annexure P- 3 and 4. Private respondents No.5 to 9 are opposed to the marriage, as the petitioners had performed the marriage without their consent.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 5 and 6 states that petitioner No.1 is in fact minor and as such the present petition is not maintainable and the petitioners are not entitled to any protection.

During the course of hearing because of the efforts of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the respondents, the petitioners and the respondents No. 5 and 6 met with each other and have arrived at a settlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions from petitioner No.2 states that in order to ensure the security and welfare of petitioner No.1, petitioner No.2 would, within one month from today, transfer a plot of 100 sq. yards situated in Village Dhalowal, Malerkotla, District Sangrur in the name of petitioner No.1. He states that the plot is his self-acquired property and he is the absolute owner thereof. He further states that keeping in view the interest of the petitioner No.1, petitioner No.2 would encourage her to pursue further studies.

In view of the aforesaid assurance given by petitioner No.2, respondents No.5 and 6, who are present in the Court, are satisfied and state that there is no question of any threat to the petitioners rather the couple has their blessings.

In view of the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed in this petition except emphasizing that the parties would be bound by what has been recorded in this order.

Disposed of.

June 26, 2015
Atul

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE