

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-19636 of 2015
Date of decision : 02.07.2015**

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.265 dated 29.04.2015, registered under Section 365 read with Section 34 IPC, Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

One Jai Kumar was kidnapped and taken in a Tata Magic vehicle. Jai Kumar was beaten up. The police rescued the complainant. The complainant named Mahabir and his son and cousin for giving him a beating. The police arrested two persons named by the complainant who disclosed that the petitioner was the master mind and the vehicle used in the kidnapping was of the petitioner.

The counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he is not the owner of the vehicle and there

is no connecting material to connect him to the crime and the person had returned home the same day and he is ready to join the investigation.

The State counsel opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and urges that the investigation has come to a halt as they have been unable to arrest the petitioner who is stated to be the master mind and he has been named by the co-accused and the vehicle in which the complainant was taken is owned by the petitioner.

The allegations are serious. Petitioner has been named by the co-accused. The protective cover of anticipatory bail cannot be given to the petitioner. The petition is dismissed.

02.07.2015

sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE