

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22431 of 2013.
Decided on:-30.10.2015.

Jaswinder Kaur and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.64 dated 12.5.2012 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and consequent proceedings on the basis of compromise dated 9.11.2012 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 24.7.2015 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the trial Court was directed to submit its report regarding genuineness of compromise and also the terms of settlement along with the original statements.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before

learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Payal and have got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded by the parties, learned Magistrate has submitted his report dated 11.9.2015, along with original statements of the petitioners-accused, namely, Jaswinder Kaur, Jagtar Singh and Sukhdev Singh and the respondent No.2-complainant Tejbir Singh, to the effect that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties voluntarily, without having any threat or coercion and the same is genuine one.

The factum of compromise between the parties has not been disputed by learned State counsel.

Though today nobody has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners as well as respondents No.2 and 3, but no prejudice would be caused to either of the parties as the petitioners-accused, namely, Jaswinder Kaur, Jagtar Singh and Sukhdev Singh and the respondent No.2-complainant Tejbir Singh have already made their respective statements before the learned Magistrate regarding the validity and genuineness of the compromise.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.64 dated 12.5.2012 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom is quashed, on the basis of compromise dated 9.11.2012 (Annexure P-2).

October 30, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE