

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19616-2015

Date of Decision: 13.08.2015

Ram Babu and ors.

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Navin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No.258 dated 21.05.2015 under Sections 323, 452, 427, 447, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sonipat Sadar, District Sonipat.

Notice of motion was issued and interim protection was granted.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Ishwar Singh, Police Station Sonipat Sadar, District Sonipat, submits that in compliance of the order passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation and they are no more required for the purpose of any further investigation.

However, learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the present petition contending that petitioners committed serious offence because of which they are not entitled for the concession of pre-arrest bail. He prays for dismissal of the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, after careful perusal of the record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that in the given fact situation of the present case, petitioners have been found entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail. It is so said because there is no allegation against the petitioners of any misuse of concession of interim anticipatory bail granted to them by this Court. They have also joined the investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, petitioners are no more required for the purpose of any further investigation.

In view of the above and without commenting anything further on merits at this stage, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, instant petition is allowed. Order dated 11.06.2015 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute, however, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

13.08.2015
vishnu