

CRM-M-19473-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19473-2015

Date of Decision: 30.06.2015

Dinesh Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S.Bakshi, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.23 dated 05.01.2015, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Hisar, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the

CRM-M-19473-2015

petitioner is owner of the property in question on the basis of award passed by the Permanent and Continuous, Lok Adalat, Hisar.

Per contra, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant submits that property in question bears specific khasra number and now it belongs to the Municipal Corporation, Hisar.

I have considered the rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties.

When a specific question was put to learned counsel for the petitioner in respect of ownership of the petitioner qua the property in question, he has failed to satisfy this Court, rather learned State counsel has shown revenue records which indicate that property in question bears specific khasra number and earlier ownership of the same was in favour of the Provincial Government and now it has been transferred in favour of the Municipal Corporation. The petitioner has cheated the vendee to the tune of ₹66 lacs by selling the Govt. property. The petitioner has played fraud not only upon the vendee but also upon the Government.

In view of above, no ground for grant of pre-arrest bail is made out.

Dismissed.

30.06.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge