

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M No.19467 of 2015
Date of Decision: June 09, 2015

Amandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioners that respondents 4 and 5, who are the parents of petitioner No.1-Amandeep Kaur, are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and, therefore, they apprehend danger to their life and liberty. Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners had earlier approached the Station House Officer, Police Station, Bakshiwal, Patiala-respondent No.3 on 02.06.2015 but no action was taken. He further contends that a detailed representation will be submitted to the Station House Officer, Police Station, Bakshiwal, Patiala-respondent No.3 by the petitioners, which may be directed to be considered and appropriate steps in accordance with law taken thereon.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to Station House Officer, Police Station, Bakshiwal, Patiala-respondent No.3 that in case such a representation is submitted to him by the petitioners, the same shall be considered and if need be, appropriate steps in accordance with law be taken thereon.

June 09, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE