

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.19259 of 2015

Date of decision:17.06.2015

Sonu

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, DAG, Punjab.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. I do not think that there is a good ground made for grant of anticipatory bail, considering the fact that the police party is reported to have seized 3 quintals of narcotic substance from the petitioner's residence. The petitioner's contention is that there are statutory violations about the manner of search that could have been conducted and the seizure that could have been effected. The State of Punjab is in the throes of drug menace and if the authorities are making strict enforcement of law, there shall be no immediate fetter for carrying on with the process of law. These observations are made only for the purpose of disposal of anticipatory bail application and will not have any bearing to any defence that the

petitioner may have or for consideration of any regular bail application if he surrenders before the police and applies to the court at an appropriate time for release on bail.

2. Petition for grant of anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

17.06.2015
sanjeev