

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19289 OF 2014  
Date of Decision: 4.12.2015**

Indu Jain

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Meenakshi Gupta

.....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present : Nemo.

\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner, by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C, seek quashing of complaint dated 4.1.2013 under Section 12 read with Section 17/19(F)/20/22 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (' Act of 2005' for short) and as well as impugned summoning order, however, without availing the remedy of appeal under Section 29 of the Act of 2005.

Admittedly, before approaching this Court by way of instant petition, petitioner has not availed her equally efficacious alternative remedy of appeal under the Act of 2005, at the first instance. In this view of the matter, petitioner is relegated to her equally efficacious alternative remedy of appeal under the Act of 2005. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach this court again by filing similar petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., if necessity arises.

It is also made clear that if the petitioner approaches the learned court of competent jurisdiction under the relevant provisions of law within a period of one month from today, the respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**

4.12.2015  
*Ak Sharma*