

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19222-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 05, 2015

Seema

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sanjay Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the informant.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Mr.Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner has filed the vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner, which is taken on record. However, he prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to file fresh one after the compromise, if need be.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Interim directions issued vide order dated 28.08.2015
are hereby vacated.

October 05, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE