

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19220-2015
Date of decision:8.6.2015**

Bhupinder Mittal @ Sahil and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Ms.Deepa Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No.142 dated 6.8.2014 registered under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station, Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it does not appeal to reason that all the petitioners would be having undesirable relations with the wife of the deceased at the same time. She further submits that allegations against the petitioners are not specific but vague. She prays for allowing the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, after careful perusal of the record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that since the allegation against the petitioners is direct and specific that they were having undesirable relations with Simran Sharma, wife of the deceased- Mahesh Kumar, this material fact itself became the cause of depression for the deceased which forced him to take the extreme step by committing suicide. Having said that, this Court feels no hesitation to conclude that

petitioners are not entitled for concession of anticipatory bail. In such a situation, protective investigation will not serve any purpose and the custodial interrogation of the petitioners would be a compulsive necessity of the investigating agency so as to conduct an effective investigation.

In view of the above and without commenting anything further on merits at this stage, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

8.6.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE