

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-19276 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.2.2015

Suraj Bhan Jindal and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and otheres Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jangsher Singh Bhuller, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vansh Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Mr. A.S. Sullar, Additional P.P., for respondent No. 6.

Mr. Anil Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent No. 7.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 filed in Court today, which is taken on record. Other respondents do not want to file reply.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 7 states that he did not give any threat to the petitioners. It is stated that the petitioners are property dealers and many cases have been registered against them and on the pretext of the present petition, they are not being arrested.

It being so, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 that if there is any threat to the life and liberty of petitioners from respondent No. 7, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali, Punjab, shall make an assessment regarding the threat perception and take necessary corrective steps. However, if the petitioners commit any crime, the police shall be at liberty to take action against them, in accordance with law.

Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.2.2015
sjks