

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22023-2013

Date of Decision: February 4, 2015

Surjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Shiv Roop Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate, alongwith
Ms. Anu Bala-respondent No. 5 in person

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Ms. Anu Bala (respondent No. 5) alongwith her
counsel Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, is present in the Court.

Mr. Sukhija states at the bar that the petitioner, Surjit
Singh, had filed CRWP-1079-2013, wherein he (petitioner) had
stated before the coordinate Bench hearing the said criminal
writ petition that the matter was settled amicably and, as such,
the said petition was dismissed as having been rendered
infructuous. He has produced a photostate copy of the order

dated 25.2.2014, passed in CRWP-1079-2013, which is taken on record and a copy thereof has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

After going through the order dated 25.2.2014, passed in CRWP-1079-2013, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of repeated information, the petitioner, Surjit Singh, is not coming forward to assist him in this case. He further submits that in view of the decision of the above stated criminal writ petition, the present petition be disposed of.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 4, 2015
Pkapoor