

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19204 of 2015
Date of Decision: 29.06.2015

Himanshu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of direction to official respondents to protect his life and liberty and to provide security to him as well as to his family members. Petitioner is Author of FIR No.38 dated 03.02.2014, under Section 387/506 IPC, Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala. Second FIR was got registered by real maternal uncle of petitioner against the same accused i.e FIR No.62 dated 12.02.2014 under Section 307/120-B/34 IPC and under Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala. Third FIR was got registered by petitioner i.e FIR No.86 dated 11.03.2015, under Section 387/506 IPC against the same accused.Learned counsel states that at one point of time

security was provided by respondent No.3. However, the same has been withdrawn without there being any rhyme and reason. Precisely in the aforesaid context, petitioner has already moved a detailed representation dated 19.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) before respondent No.5.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of this case, respondent No.5 is directed to decide threat perception of the petitioner by passing speaking order on representation (Annexure P-4). Respondent No.5 can devise his own mechanism to extract truth in the representation and as well as from other material. In case same worth is found in the claim of petitioner then respondent No.5 would be obligated to act in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

Needful be done within three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

June 29, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE