

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(1) CRM-M-19120 of 2015.
Decided on:-August 27, 2015.

Tehal Singh.Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.Respondent.

(2) CRM-M-19777 of 2015.

Mehal Singh and othersPetitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab.Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. H.S. Kathwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the aforementioned two petitions filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners as the same have been arisen out of the cross-version bearing Rapat No.36 dated 18.3.2015 under Sections 307/323/379/148/149 IPC in FIR No.45 dated 15.3.2015 under Sections 326/323/34 IPC, registered at Police Station,

Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

On June 05, 2015 when the present petition was listed for hearing, learned counsel for the complainant informed the Court that proceedings declaring the petitioner a proclaimed offender have been initiated and Munadi has been made.

Today, learned counsel for the complainant has further informed that vide order dated 1.7.2015 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Fazilka, the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed person and the written proclamation under Section 82 clause 1 to clause 3 Cr.PC has been duly published by the Court which is itself a cognizable offence under Section 174-A IPC. He, therefore, submits that the proclaimed person cannot be extended the concession of anticipatory bail.

Faced with the aforesaid contention, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition to enable the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court. However, he submits that the trial Court may be directed to decide the bail application expeditiously, if so filed by the petitioner.

In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, in case the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court within a week from today, the trial Court shall consider and decide the bail application so filed by the petitioner expeditiously.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

August 27, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE