

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19113-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:24.08.2015

Vinod Kumar ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Satish Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Dhir, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Anil Kumar submits that though petitioner has not joined investigation, he is not required by the investigating agency as recovery has already been effected.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, this petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

24.08.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE