

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.19111 of 2015
Date of Decision: 05.06.2015**

KOUSHAL RANI AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE/UT CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner have solemnized their marriage in pursuance of engagement ceremony. At a subsequent stage, there were some misgivings between the family members of both parties and now they are interfering in the matrimonial relations of the petitioners. Marriage was solemnized on 28.05.2015.

In view of facts narrated in the petition and nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon the respondents No.1 to 5.

In view of nature of relief claimed by petitioner, let this petition be treated as representation on behalf of petitioners by

respondent No.3. Thereafter respondent No.3 may proceed to look into the grievance of petitioners. If need be respondent No.3 may devise necessary mechanism of extracting truth. After fact-finding mechanism, respondent No.3 may pass necessary order in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of.

June 05, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**