

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19090-2015

Date of Decision: 03.06.2015

Pankaj Gupta and anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 01.06.2015. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to photographs (Annexure P-4). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition, petitioners have already filed a representation (Annexure P-5) before Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala-respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-5) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

June 03, 2015
sukhpreet

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE