

CRM-M-1909-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1909-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision: 12.03.2015

Thekedar Narinder Singh @ Tony

.... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chandumajra, DAG, Punjab.

PARAMJEET SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-8171-2015

Allowed, as prayed for. Annexures P-8 to P-10 are taken on
record.

CRM-M-1909-2015

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for
grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.179 dated
10.12.2014, registered at Police Station City-I, Abohar, District Fazilka,
under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the
record.

CRM-M-1909-2015

The present case has been registered against the petitioner on the complainant of one Raj Bhupinder Singh son of Balbir Singh. In his complaint, the complainant averred that he owns about 36 killas of land in Abohar. He had applied for tubewell connection in the name of Jagmohan being his attorney. He had paid Rs.15,000/- to the petitioner however, he was given illegal electricity connection by the contractor-Narinder Singh without doing any paper work and Powercom Department had fined the complainant for running illegal electricity connection.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner, who happened to be a Contractor that he had given illegal connection to the complainant. Due to this reason, the complainant has been fined by the Electricity Department and inquiry (Annexure P-9) was conducted by the department through Er. Harish Chander Gothwal, Enquiry Officer-cum-Senior Executive Engineer, Circle Division, Badal wherein it has been mentioned that Narinder Singh alias Toni Contractor had given this connection. Keeping in view the fact that illegal connection has been given through the equipments of the Punjab Electricity Board without any authority and the equipments i.e. transformer etc. have been used without any authority of law. The connivance of department officials can also not be ruled out. In these circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, therefore, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

CRM-M-1909-2015

Nothing observed herein shall amount to an observation on
the merits of the case.

12.03.2015
parveen kumar

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE