

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-1908 of 2015

Date of decision: 26.05.2015

Deepak

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Haryana

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Ranvir S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.809 dated 2.9.2014 under Sections 392, 120-B read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 8.9.2014. He further submits that another FIR i.e. FIR no.810 dated 2.9.2014 u/ss 392, 120-B, 34 IPC was registered against the petitioner at same police station, wherein, an amount of Rs.2,44,000/- was recovered from three accused including the petitioner and learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat has admitted the

petitioner on bail vide order dated 20.12.2014. He further submits that in the present FIR, recovery of Rs.15,000/- only has been effected from the petitioner. Both the FIRs were registered on same date, though different occurrences have been shown. He further submits that as against the 10 witnesses, only two witnesses have been examined so far and trial is not going to be concluded in the near future.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the concerned police official, does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 8.9.2014 and conclusion of trial shall take sufficiently long time, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing of bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

May 26, 2015
ak

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE