

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.19061 of 2015
Date of Decision : 19.06.2015

Rohit @ Ravit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate
for Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for a direction to the respondent No.1 to grant necessary permission to the petitioner to execute sale deed pertaining to his land in question while in police custody.

On 04.06.2015 the following order was passed:-

“The request of the petitioner for allowing him to go to Uttar Pradesh to execute the sale deed of his land in favour of Sanjiv Kumar son of Shri Nathu Singh, resident of District Bagpat, was declined by Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, on the ground that the petitioner is in custody for commission of serious offences and if he is taken to Uttar Pradesh for the purpose as above, there are chances that he would flee from there.

Notice of motion.

State Counsel, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 16.06.2015.

The matter has been fixed during summer vacation on the request of counsel for the petitioner stating that the mother of the petitioner is seriously ill.”

Thereafter on 16.06.2015 the following order was passed:-

“Today counsel for the petitioner has made a limited prayer. He has stated that the petitioner has to be taken to Baghpat for his appearance in a Court where he is facing trial and he just wants that when he is taken there in custody, he may be permitted to execute the sale deed of his land.

Counsel for the respondent seeks a short adjournment.

Adjourned to 19.06.2015.”

Today the learned Additional Advocate General on instructions from ASI Rajender Kumar has accepted that the petitioner has to be taken to Baghpat for appearance on 25.06.2015 and that the respondent would have no objection if he executes the sale deed there subject to the time taken in the court hearing.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

A copy of this order be given dasti to both the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Advocate General under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

June 19, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**