

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M- 18980 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: June 2 , 2015.

Dharmender Kumar and another

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. Vishva Nath Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondent No.4 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 22.05.2015 against the wishes of the said respondent. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 02.08.1984 and 06.07.1993, respectively. True copies of Student Certificate of petitioner No.1 and Adhaar Card of petitioner No.2 have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2. A copy of the Marriage

Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P3 and P4. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 25.05.2015 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar is directed to decide representation dated 25.05.2015 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

June 2 , 2015.
'om'