

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-18980 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.04.2015

Ravinder Singh Bajwa @ Ravi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for quashing FIR No.154 dated 08.12.2012 under Sections 406, 420 IPC, Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar and the subsequent proceedings on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

As per report of the trial Court statements of respondent No.2-complainant as well as the petitioner have been recorded. It is reported that compromise is voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaswant

Singh submits that petitioner is the only accused in this FIR, which was recorded by respondent No.2 who is the only aggrieved person.

No useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings in this case in view of the compromise arrived at between them.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H)** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.154 dated 08.12.2012 under Sections 406, 420 IPC, Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar and the subsequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof, are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

23.04.2015
sk