

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18901 of 2015

Date of decision: August 10, 2015

Anmol Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Sanga, Advocate
for the complainant.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.174 dated 14.09.2014, under Sections 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Rupnagar, District Rupnagar.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 03.06.2015:-

“This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.174 dated 14.09.2014 under Sections 420/120-B IPC at Police Station City Rupnagar, District Rupnagar which has resulted from a complaint filed by one Satnam Singh etc. who the petitioner got to know while he was in judicial custody in earlier FIR No.138 dated 04.12.2012 under Sections 420/ 467/ 468/ 471/ 120- B IPC registered at Police Station Nangal which according to the learned counsel is an offshoot of the earlier FIR. He submits that against the earlier FIR a quashing petition is pending in this Court in which further proceedings have been stayed by this Court. It is his case that a false case has been foisted on him by the

complainant party only to extract money from him. The petitioner has brought these facts to the notice of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar in a representation dated 26.02.2014 (P- 3) of attempts by Satnam Singh and party to involve him in a false case. Though quite some time has gone by but he apprehends that Satnam Singh and party has got the better of him through the police. The petitioner is young, aged about 20 years and runs a trust namely Shri Guru Granth Sahib Ji Sewa Sambhal Trust in which Satnam Singh is a worker. The learned Sessions Judge, Rupnagar has declined the anticipatory bail on 06.02.2015 looking to the large sums of money which are said to have been taken by the petitioner to provide jobs in Government service to third parties. The earlier FIR was much to the same effect but with a different complainant.

Notice of motion, returnable by 10.08.2015.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and subject to compliance of provisions of Section 438(2) of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973.”

Learned State counsel who is assisted by Sub Inspector Amrit Lal has submitted that the petitioner has failed to joined investigation.

In the present case, the allegation against the petitioner is that he took money from the complainant Satnam Singh and others on the pretext of providing jobs to them. Petitioner has failed to join investigation in pursuance to the order dated 03.06.2015 passed by this Court.

In these circumstances, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 10, 2015
mahavir