

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 18873 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.06.2015.

107

Rajwinder Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. C.L. Pawar, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 28.05.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 07.02.1988 and 06.10.1989, respectively. True copies of Matriculation Examination certificates of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners are

stated to have submitted a representation dated 28.05.2015 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jasdev Singh Brar, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar is directed to decide the representation dated 28.05.2015 (Annexure P5) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 02, 2015
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge