

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 18861 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 03, 2015

Rishi,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. AS Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

Mr. Sanjay Malik, Advocate
for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.565, dated 4.11.2013, registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 506, 302, 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody since 5.11.2013 and, therefore, he should be released on bail.

Learned DAG Haryana, however, states that 17 out of 22 witnesses have been examined and only 5, who are official witnesses,

remain, and instead of releasing the petitioner on bail, it would be appropriate to put a time cap on the trial. The next date fixed is 23.9.2015. She has stated that the prosecution will lead its entire evidence within two months subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

Keeping in view the statement of counsel for the respondent, I dismiss this petition at this stage but direct the trial Court to release the petitioner on bail to its satisfaction in case the prosecution fails to conclude its evidence within two months subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

September 03, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE