

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-18842 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.06.2015

104

Nasran Parveen and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10 and other relatives because they have contracted marriage between themselves on 23.05.2015 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both petitioners are present in court and on being asked submit that they have contracted marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 10.10.1990 and 10.04.1987, respectively. Affidavit regarding age proof of petitioner No. 1 is appended as Annexure P-1 and true copy of voter identity card of petitioner No. 2 has been appended with the petition as Annexure P-2. A copy of the Marriage

Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 23.05.2015 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jasdev Singh Brar, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur is directed to decide the representation dated 23.05.2015 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 02, 2015
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge