

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
CRM- M No. 18794 of 2015
Date of decision : June 1, 2015

Himanshu Verma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

U. T. Chandigarh Administration and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL
Present:- Mr. Arun Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The present petition is filed for quashing of the FIR No. 173 dated 28.4.2014 filed under Section 406/498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 in view of the compromise dated 11.11.2014 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the complainant and the petitioner.

Notice of the Criminal Misc. Petition be issued to the State.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kapil Sharma, Advocate, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that as per the terms and conditions of the compromise, petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 had been filed and the first motion statement of the girl and the boy was recorded on

11.11.2014 and on 14.5.2015 the parties have again suffered a statement by which decree of divorce by way of mutual consent has been passed on 14.5.2015 and the terms and conditions agreed between the parties is reflected in the Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2) and the amount agreed between the parties has been paid to the complainant.

The aforementioned statement has been made by Mr. Kapil Sharma, Advocate on instructions from Smt. Alisha-respondent No.2 and states that since the parties have arrived at a compromise and the police has not submitted any challan and the investigation is at preliminary stage seeks quashing of the FIR,

In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise and have already adhered to the terms and conditions of the FIR and there is no need for continuing with the FIR.

Accordingly, in view of the Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2) the FIR is quashed. The parties shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the compromise.

The Criminal Misc. petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 1, 2015
archana