

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 18792 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.06.2015.**

Anil Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he apprehends arrest on account of some complaint which may be made in the near future by respondent No. 4, i.e. his wife, against him.

It is submitted that father of the petitioner had applied to this Court under similar circumstances and the order was passed that in case he was wanted in any case, prior notice of ten days would be given to him.

However, it is felt that under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure petitions are not to be allowed to stall the functions of the State machinery. Since there is no case against the petitioner, mere apprehension in his mind is not enough.

Dismissed.

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**

01.06.2015
Ramesh