

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-40741-2015 in/and
CRM-M-18780 of 2015

Date of decision: 19.12.2015

Manjeet Kaur

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Punjab and others

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. H.S.Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Grewal, Advocate for respondents No.6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

CRM-40741-2015

This is an application for placing on record additional fact and documents to counter the reply filed by the respondents.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed as prayed for. The said documents as Annexures P-3 to P-6, are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-18780 of 2015

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to take appropriate steps against the private respondents, who have committed a cognizable offence.

Notice of motion having been issued on 01.06.2015, reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, 4 and 5 has been filed.

As per the said reply, an application bearing No.2997-PP, dated 01.07.2015 was moved by petitioner, namely, Manjeet Kaur wife of Nirvair Singh as well as application bearing No.362-A, dated 01.07.2015 was moved by Baljeet Kaur wife of respondent No.6(Jagtar Singh). Both the applications were thoroughly enquired by the police and on inquiry, it was found that Manjeet Kaur (petitioner) and wife of respondent No.6, namely, Baljeet Kaur, are mother-in-law (Chachi Sass) and daughter-in-law in relation and there was some quarrel between them on a petty matter.

The allegation of brick batting and tearing of clothes was not proved and both the parties have moved their respective applications against the families just to drag each other.

Apprehending breach of peace and for the purpose of security, proceedings under Sections 107/150 Cr.P.C. were initiated against petitioner-Manjeet Kaur and wife of respondent No.6, namely, Baljeet Kaur, vide DDR No.42 dated 28.07.2015, registered at Police Station, Jhabal.

In view of the above, it is established that dispute between the parties is petty in nature and through the instant petition, no substantive material has been placed on record which warrants interference by this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

19.12.2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE