

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 18750 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.06.2015.**

Surjit Singh Petitioner.
Versus
State of Punjab Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that the petitioner was initially put in column No. 2 in the charge-sheet filed in the Court. However, vide order dated 30.07.2007 (Annexure P-2), he was summoned as additional accused. He appeared before the trial Court but in 2008, he left for Australia for studies as his fees for that purpose to the extent of Rs.5,00,000/- had already been paid by his father before he was summoned as an accused.

Since the petitioner left India without intimation to the Court, his presence could not be procured and he was declared proclaimed offender.

The petitioner was facing trial and during trial he left the country without intimation to the Court, what to speak of obtaining permission to do so.

In such event, the petitioner does not deserve the relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**

02.06.2015
Ramesh