

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18773-2014
Decided on : 11.05.2015**

Bhupender ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present : Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (Oral)

As per reply furnished by the State, the property of the petitioner stands attached for making recovery amount of the surety bond i.e. ₹50,000/-.

The accused Anish @ Anil was granted concession of bail. Thereafter he failed to appear before the Court below and he was declared proclaimed offender. Later on, he was arrested. However, the condition of the surety bond became alive and came into play when accused Anish @ Anil became absent without any valid reason.

In these circumstances as above, the surety i.e. the petitioner was liable to pay of ₹50,000/-. However, keeping in view the facts and circumstances in totality, it is felt that the ends of justice would be met, if the petitioner is directed to pay ₹10,000/- out of the total amount of the surety bond.

Petitioner is directed to deposit ₹10,000/- within one week from the receipt of certified copy of this order and thereafter all

proceedings against him shall be dropped and his property released from attachment.

Disposed of.

May 11, 2015
Naveen

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE