

130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.18687 of 2015
Date of Decision: 29.05.2015**

Satya Bhushan

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for quashing of order dated 31.10.2014, vide which revision against order dated 10.06.2014 passed by the trial Court was dismissed by the Revisional Court. Apparently, previous complaint filed by the petitioner is statedly pending. Thereafter, threats of killing were allegedly advanced by the accused to the effect that in case complaint is not withdrawn, the complainant would be done to death. Incident of 08.04.2007 has been cited to show incriminating act on behalf of accused-respondents. Trial Court dismissed the complaint filed in aforesaid context on the ground that there is no independent corroboration of allegations in the preliminary evidence led by the complainant. The Revisional Court

also upheld the said order.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Both the Courts have dismissed the complaint without taking cognizance as there is no sufficient preliminary evidence for summoning the accused in the complaint. The alleged occurrence took place on 08.04.2007, in which accused Darshan Lal was shown armed with stick, Neelam was shown armed with thapa and Vicky was shown armed with hockey. Allegations of forcibly entry in the house of the complainant could not be proved with reference to preliminary evidence. No doctor has been examined, nor factum of police complaint could be prima facie proved. The statement of Anita CW2 further demolished the complainant story of forcibly entry by the accused in his house.

In view of the aforesaid, both the Courts have rightly dismissed the complaint.

There is no ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

May 29, 2015
SwarnjitS

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE