

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18635-2015
Date of decision:29.05.2015**

Avtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.S.P.Soi, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.82 dated 13.4.2015 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 307 IPC (added later on) at Police Station, Shahkot, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner also suffered as many as eight injuries at the hands of the accused in the cross-version case lodged at the instance of the petitioner. Sukhwinder Kaur, his wife, also suffered two injuries, who has been granted concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 26.5.2015 passed in CRM-M-17420-2015. He further submits that whether the offence under Section 307 IPC would be attracted or not, would be a debatable issue. He prays for allowing the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, after careful perusal of record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that petitioner is the main accused. He has caused sharp edged injury on the head of his brother Daljeet Singh. He has also caused injuries to his parents and other

close relatives. He was armed with kirpan. Sukhwinder Kaur (wife of the petitioner) was granted concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide above-said order dated 26.5.2015 in CRM-M-17420-2015, because she is a lady and has not been attributed any serious injury to any of the injured persons, thus, her case is distinguishable from the case of the present petitioner.

In view of the above and without commenting anything further on merits, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

29.05.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE