

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18624-2015

Date of Decision: 06.10.2015

ANAND AGGARWAL

...PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Jagmeet Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is apprehending threat to his life from respondents No. 4 and 5 and has sought a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 in this context. Learned counsel has argued that the petitioner has also made a representation dated 20.05.2015(Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 but the same has not been decided as yet. He states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation in accordance with law.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to go through the representation(Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and take whatever action he deems necessary thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

October 06, 2015

sunita