

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-18652 of 2014

.....

Date of decision:20.2.2015

Jatinderjeet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

.....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Amandeep Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Singh Pannu, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana for the respondent-State.

Mr. Avinash Mandla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.765 dated 23.9.2013 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 10 of Emigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Civil Lines Karnal, District Karnal and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Joginder Singh on the allegations that the accused-petitioners by hatching conspiracy have cheated him. Now with intervention of respectable persons,

the matter has been amicably settled and compromise has been entered into between the parties, therefore, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal has sent his report dated 10.2.2015 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been

[3]

amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.765 dated 23.9.2013 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 10 of Emigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Civil Lines Karnal, District Karnal and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

February 20, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp